

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Vol                 | Page        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| <b>COSTS</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                     |             |
| Client in detailed assessment proceedings seeking information regarding cash account — Whether appropriate to order solicitors to provide information<br><b>Turner v Coupland Cavendish Ltd</b>                                                                   | <b>Sweeting J</b> 1 | <b>4571</b> |
| <b>IMMIGRATION</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                     |             |
| Application for leave to remain as representative of overseas business — Whether applicant establishing “wholly-owned” UK subsidiary of such business<br><b>R (Baarinsa) v Secretary of State for the Home Department</b>                                         | <b>UT</b> 1         | <b>4433</b> |
| <b>REVENUE</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                     |             |
| Domestic tax treatment of non-UK source dividends breaching EU law — Whether procedural regime enabling taxpayers to claim double tax relief<br><b>Revenue and Customs Comrs v Applicants in the Post Prudential Closure Notice Applications Group Litigation</b> | <b>CA</b> 1         | <b>4463</b> |
| <b>REVENUE</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                     |             |
| Non-UK resident company making distributions to shareholder — Whether dividends “of a capital nature” for income tax purposes<br><b>Beard v Revenue and Customs Comrs</b>                                                                                         | <b>CA</b> 3         | <b>645</b>  |
| <b>REVENUE</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                     |             |
| Taxpayers disposing of shares in order to benefit from capital gains tax relief — Whether taxpayers’ “main purpose” to “obtain an income tax advantage”<br><b>Osmond v Revenue and Customs Comrs</b>                                                              | <b>UT</b> 1         | <b>4553</b> |
| <b>ROAD TRAFFIC</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                     |             |
| Motorist making hands-free call via Bluetooth but catching mobile phone when braking — Whether “using hand-held mobile phone”<br><b>R (Olins) v Lavender Hill Magistrates’ Court</b>                                                                              | <b>Freedman J</b> 1 | <b>4446</b> |
| <b>TRIBUNAL</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                     |             |
| Teacher appealing against decision to include him on children’s barred list — Whether Upper Tribunal bound by factual findings made in separate disciplinary proceedings<br><b>XYZ v Disclosure and Barring Service</b>                                           | <b>CA</b> 1         | <b>4531</b> |



# THE WEEKLY LAW REPORTS

PART 38

31 OCTOBER 2025

PART 38  
THE WEEKLY LAW REPORTS

[2025] 3 WLR 645-680



Editor: Brendan Wright, Barrister  
Assistant Editor: Giovanni D’Avola, Barrister



# A smart solution for legal research.

ICLR.4 is our online platform for in-depth, intuitive legal research.

Work smarter with access to a vast archive of law reports and help from our AI-powered search tool, Case Genie.

To find out more and subscribe, visit [iclr.co.uk](http://iclr.co.uk).

Printed and bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon CR0 4YY and published by The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales (a Registered Charity) at Megarry House, 119 Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1PP. Tel: 020 7242 6471 (Fax: 020 7831 5247).

Also published under licence by EBC Publishing Pvt Ltd, Lucknow, India.

© The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales 2025

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, electronic scanning and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature. Any person who does an unauthorised act in relation to a copyright work may be liable to criminal prosecution and a civil claim for damages.