



ICLR

## THE WEEKLY LAW REPORTS

PART 36

16 OCTOBER 2020

PART 36

THE WEEKLY LAW REPORTS

[2020] 3 WLR 755–894

Vol Page

## ANIMAL

Sale of commercial fishery — Whether vendor retaining qualified property in fish stocks

**Borwick Development Solutions Ltd v Clear Water Fisheries Ltd** CA 3 755

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Defendant publishing article about criminal investigation using information from confidential letter of request — Whether subject of investigation having reasonable expectation of privacy

**ZXC v Bloomberg LP** CA 3 838

## EXECUTION

Enforcement agent obtaining payment from debtor in exercise of writ of control — Whether required to pay sum over to enforcement agent with earlier writ

**Court Enforcement Services Ltd v Marston Legal Services Ltd (formerly Burlington Credit Ltd)** CA 3 777

## HIGHWAY

Claim against highway authority for breach of statutory duty to maintain path — Whether path “highway maintainable at public expense”

**Barlow v Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council** CA 3 870

## LIEN

Defendant creating exhibition incorporating vintage motorbikes lent to it for that purpose — Whether particular lien arising over motorbikes

**Sheianov v Sarnar International Ltd** Griffiths J 1 3963

## MENTAL DISORDER

Property and affairs deputy no longer consenting to act as such — Whether required to apply to court to be discharged

**In re A** Ct of Protection 1 4008

## PRACTICE

Regulator issuing auditor with notice seeking disclosure of documents — Whether auditor obliged to withhold documents on audited person’s assertion that documents privileged

**A v B** Trower J 1 3989

## SOLICITOR

Claim compromised by way of confidential settlement agreement — Whether defendant’s solicitors to be restrained from acting for different defendants in later claim brought by same claimants

**Glencairn IP Holdings Ltd v Product Specialities Inc (trading as Final Touch)** CA 3 810

## SUPREME COURT

Permission to appeal refused

**Ainsworth v Stewarts Law LLP** SC(E) 1 3988**Akhter v Khan (Attorney General intervening)** SC(E) 1 4007Editor: **Brendan Wright**, BarristerAssistant Editor: **Giovanni D’Avola**, BarristerConsultant Editor: **Clive Scowen**, Barrister



# THE WEEKLY LAW REPORTS HAVE EXPANDED

Since they entered circulation in 1953, The Weekly Law Reports have provided the most up to date and comprehensive generalist coverage of law-changing judgments in England and Wales.

To address the increasing demand over recent years for wider and deeper coverage of case law, we have significantly expanded The Weekly Law Reports.

As a user of The Weekly Law Reports, you will be familiar with the existing three volumes. Volume 1 covers points of law of general interest and procedural matters. Volumes 2 and 3 contain cases of greater significance which will subsequently appear (with the addition of a note of argument) in The Law Reports.

Volume 4 of The Weekly Law Reports provides extended coverage of cases which we do not have room to report in the print edition but are nevertheless instructive and useful to practitioners and students alike. You can find them, along with those reported in Volumes 1 to 3, on ICLR Online.

Typeset by CPI Royle, printed and bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon CR0 4YY and published by The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales (a Registered Charity) at Megarry House, 119 Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1PP. Tel: 020 7242 6471 (Fax: 020 7831 5247).

Also published under licence by EBC Publishing Pvt Ltd, Lucknow, India.

© The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, electronic scanning and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature. Any person who does an unauthorised act in relation to a copyright work may be liable to criminal prosecution and a civil claim for damages.