



ICLR

THE WEEKLY LAW REPORTS

PART 34

2 OCTOBER 2020

Editor: **Brendan Wright**, BarristerAssistant Editor: **Giovanni D'Avola**, BarristerConsultant Editor: **Clive Scowen**, Barrister

Vol Page

COMMONS

Application to remove land from register of common land — Whether land “within the curtilage of a building”

R (Hampshire County Council) v Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Holgate J 3 597

COMPANY

Beneficial owner of company giving instructions via agent — Whether agent having ostensible authority making owner's instructions binding as act of company itself

Ciban Management Corp v Citco (BVI) Ltd PC 3 705

CRIME

Court sentencing for multiple offences imposing surcharge — Proper approach to calculation of surcharge

R v Abbott (Scott) (Secretary of State for Justice intervening) CA 1 3739

ESTOPPEL

Judgment of foreign court — Whether capable of giving rise to issue estoppel in English proceedings if foreign legal system having no doctrine of issue estoppel

MAD Atelier International BV v Manès Bryan J 3 631

IMMIGRATION

Foreign national resisting removal from United Kingdom in reliance on right to respect for family life — Whether public interest requiring removal where husband and children British citizens

Runa v Secretary of State for the Home Department CA 1 3760

MARRIAGE

Wife's application to set aside consent order on grounds of duress — Whether court to grant wife maintenance pending suit

YM v NM MacDonald J 1 3723

PRACTICE

Respondent to stakeholder application failing to dispute court's jurisdiction — Whether to be treated as having accepted court's jurisdiction

Stephenson Harwood LLP v Medien Patentverwaltung AG Andrew Lenon QC 1 3795

REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS

Transgender man giving birth to child — Whether to be registered as child's “mother” or “father” on birth certificate

R (TT) v Registrar General for England and Wales (AIRE Centre intervening) CA 3 683

REVENUE

Taxpayer derecognising value of derivative contracts for accounting purposes — Whether derecognition giving rise to allowable loss for corporation tax purposes

Union Castle Mail Steamship Co Ltd v Revenue and Customs Comrs CA 1 3772



THE WEEKLY LAW REPORTS HAVE EXPANDED

Since they entered circulation in 1953, The Weekly Law Reports have provided the most up to date and comprehensive generalist coverage of law-changing judgments in England and Wales.

To address the increasing demand over recent years for wider and deeper coverage of case law, we have significantly expanded The Weekly Law Reports.

As a user of The Weekly Law Reports, you will be familiar with the existing three volumes. Volume 1 covers points of law of general interest and procedural matters. Volumes 2 and 3 contain cases of greater significance which will subsequently appear (with the addition of a note of argument) in The Law Reports.

Volume 4 of The Weekly Law Reports provides extended coverage of cases which we do not have room to report in the print edition but are nevertheless instructive and useful to practitioners and students alike. You can find them, along with those reported in Volumes 1 to 3, on ICLR Online.

Typeset by CPI Royle, printed and bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon CR0 4YY and published by The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales (a Registered Charity) at Megarry House, 119 Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1PP. Tel: 020 7242 6471 (Fax: 020 7831 5247).

Also published under licence by EBC Publishing Pvt Ltd, Lucknow, India.

© The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, electronic scanning and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to The Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature. Any person who does an unauthorised act in relation to a copyright work may be liable to criminal prosecution and a civil claim for damages.